elm creek Watershed Management Commission

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE 3235 Fernbrook Lane Plymouth, MN 55447 PH: 763.553.1144 email: judie@jass.biz www.elmcreekwatershed.org TECHNICAL OFFICE
Barr Engineering
4300 Market Point Drive, Suite 200
Minneapolis, MN 55435
PH: 612.834.1060
Email; jHerbert@barr.com

July 13, 2020

Members Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission Hennepin County, MN

Dear Members:

A meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee of the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission will be held on **Monday, July 27. 2020 at 1:00 p.m.** This will be a virtual meeting.

Until further notice, all meetings will be held online to reduce the spread of COVID-19. To join a meeting, click https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88012181743 or go to www.zoom.us and click Join A Meeting. The meeting ID is 880 1218 1743. No password is needed.

If your computer is not equipped with audio capability, you need to dial into one of these numbers:

1 929 205 6099 US (New York) 1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)

1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

The meeting is open to the public via the instructions above.

Thank you.

Judie A. Anderson Administrator

JAA:tim

Encls: Current Elm Creek Fee Schedule

2021 Budget Spreadsheet

Project Reviews Fee v Expense Analysis, June 30, 2020

Z:\Elm Creek\Meetings\Meetings 2020\04 Notice_reg and TAC meetings.docx

elm creek Watershed Management Commission

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE 3235 Fernbrook Lane Plymouth, MN 55447 PH: 763.553.1144 email: judie@jass.biz www.elmcreekwatershed.org

TECHNICAL OFFICE Barr Engineering 4300 Market Point Drive, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55435 PH: 612.834.1060 Email: iHerbert@barr.com

AGENDA Technical Advisory Committee Meeting July 27, 2020 ● 1:00 – 3:00 p.m.

Until further notice, all meetings will be held online to reduce the spread of COVID-19. To join a meeting, click https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88012181743 or go to www.zoom.us and click Join A Meeting. The meeting ID is 880 1218 1743. No password is needed.

If your computer is not equipped with audio capability, you need to dial into one of these numbers:

1 929 205 6099 US (New York)	1 301 715 8592 US (Germantown)
1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)	1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)	1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

- **1.** Call to Order.
- **2.** Approve Agenda.
- **3.** Approve Minutes of last TAC meeting not available at this time.
- **4.** Background/Issue/Concerns
 - a. Recover all or a greater portion project review costs to reduce member city subsidies of project reviews and better control the ECWMC budget
 - b. Eliminate or reduce disproportionate fees charged for projects, i.e., some projects are overcharged and some are undercharged.
- **5.** TAC Objective

The TAC has been requested to evaluate project review history, including revenue generated and cost to review, and to make recommendations, if any, to the Commission.

- **6.** Fees
 - a. Generally, fees do not over the cost of the reviews (see budget document). Projects are submitted in varying levels of complexity that a static fee structure cannot adequately address. Characteristics such as size, type, design, developer/designer experience, number of rules triggered, municipality review, etc., all affect review cost.
 - b. Fairness. What is fair?
 - Larger differences in reviews costs that sometimes subsidize other projects

- 2) Should any projects be subsidized? (none, single family lot splits, municipal projects, DNR applications, MnDOT and statutory reviews)
- 3) Escrows?
- 4) Administrative Fees?

7. Fee Schedule Structure

- a. No change or modification of existing fee structure
- b. Revised structure based on add-on fees (similar to BCWMC)
- c. Surety/Time & Material Structure application surety based on which rules are triggered. If surety is exhausted, applicant must post additional funds; if surety collected exceeds review costs, extra funds are returned to applicant.
- d. Other?
- **8.** Next Meeting
- **9.** Adjournment

Attachments: Current Elm Creek Fee Schedule 9/1/19

2021 Budget Spreadsheet - rows 78-84

Project Reviews Fee v Expense Analysis June 30, 2020

Z:\Elm Creek\TAC\July 27, 2020 meeting\July 27 2020 TAC meeting agenda.docx

	Α	в с	D	AT	AV	AW	AX	AY AZ	BA	BB	ВС	BD
3 4	From line			2018 Budget	2018 Audit	2019 Budget	2019 Preliminary Audit	2020 Budget	2020 activity YTD (thru May Treas Report)	to general	2021 Budget	Notes
5	,	'	OPERATING BUDGET									
6	GENE	RAL OPERA	ATING EXPENSES									
7	7 A	dministrativ	e	90,000	84,728	90,000	95,972	90,000	37,273		95,000	
8	8	Waters	hed-wide TMDL Admin	2,500		1,500	0	300	0	300	0	will not be spent in 2020
9	9 G	Grant Writing		4,000		4,000	0	1,000	0	500	650	will not be spent in 2020
	10 M	Vebsite		6,000	1,973	5,000	1,073	3,000	526		2,000	
-		egal Services		2,000	271	2,000	1,850	2,000	31		2,000	
	12 A			5,000		5,000	4,500				5,000	
13	13 In	nsurance		3,900	2,993	3,900	2,661	3,900	3,644		3,800	
14	14	•	port - HCEE - conservation promotion, landowner l project implementation.					15,000		7,000	12,000	only \$8,000 will be spent in 2020. in 2021 budget, \$12,000 is projected per Karen Galles. Replaces line 57.
15	15 C	Contingency		1,000		1,000		1,000	0		1,000	
16			Subtotal General Operating Expenses lines 7-15	114,400	94,465	112,400	106,056	121,200	41,474	7,800	121,450	
17												
18	EDUC	CATION										
19	48 E	ducation										
20	49	Educati	on - City/Citizen Programs	4,000	2,269	4,000	2,493	3,000	375		2,500	In part, supports programs by others - workshops, symposia, etc.
21	W	Vest Metro V	Vater Alliance									
22	51	WMWA	A General Admin	4,000	2,000	5,000	3,000	5,000	3,000		5,000	
23	52	WMWA	Implementa Activities incl Watershed PREP	6,500	3,250	6,500	4,000	6,500	2,000		6,500	
24	54	RG Wor	kshop/Intensive BMPs/Special Projects	2,000	2,924	2,000	2,000	3,000	1,625		3,000	
\vdash	55	Educati	on Grants	2,000		1,000	0	1,000)		1,000	
26	56	Macroir	nvertebrate Monitoring-River Watch	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	0		3,000	three sites monitored by HS volunteers thru Henn County
-	57	Ag Spec	ialist				0					included in line 14
28			Subtotal Education lines 20-27	21,500	13,443	21,500	14,493	21,500	7,000	0	21,000	
29												
			ANAGEMENT PLAN									
31 32			nendments	2,000		2,000	1,396		0			anticipate minimum one minor plan amendment each in 2020 and 2021
33	65 66		lan Review ution to 4th Generation Plan	8,000			0			not required in 2020 or 2021 10,000 begin set-aside for 2024 Plan, est. cost = \$45-50,000. Will be new restricted fund.		
34	30		Subtotal Watershed Management Plan lines 31-33	10,000	1,388	2,000	1,396	2,000	0	0		שבאווו זפני-מזועפ וטו 2024 רומון, פזנ. נטזנ – 245-50,000. אווו שפ וופא ופזנווננפט ועווע.
35			Subtotui wutersneu wunugement Plan Illies 31-33	10,000	1,388	2,000	1,396	2,000	, ,	0	12,000	
აა												

	Α	В	С	D	AT	AV	AW	AX A	AY AZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD
3	From line				2018 Budget	2018 Audit	2019 Budget	2019 Preliminary Audit	2020 Budget	2020 activity YTD (thru May Treas Report)	2020 Budget adjustments to general fund	2021 Budget	Notes
36	WA	TER I	MONITO	RING PROGRAMS									
37		Ехре	enses										
38	30		Stream	Monitoring									
39	31		Strea	m Monitoring - USGS	24,900	21,660	41,000	20,840	24,000		1,000	24,000	in 2020 w/b \$20,940 + amy charges for extra samples
40	32		Strea	m Monitoring - TRPD	7,600	7,600	6,875	6,875	7,200			7 200	per cooperative agreement
41	33			tensive Stream Monitoring	·	•	0,073	0,073	·			·	
42	34) Longitudinal Survey	1,000	1,000		_	1,000				per cooperative agreement
43	35		Gaugi	ng Station - Elec Bill	250	208	250	208	250	114	150		due to gauge relocation, beginning in 2020, surcharge is being assessed by City of Dayton
44				Subtotal Stream Monitoring lines 39-43	33,750	30,468	48,125	27,923	32,450	114	850	32,600	
45													
46	37			onitoring									
47	38			Monitoring - CAMP	720	550	760	0_	760			760	Volunteer program through Metropolitan Council. Teal Lake in 2020.
48	39			Monitoring - TRPD	2 200	2 200	0.400	0.400	0.400			0.400	
49 50	40			Sentinel Lakes	3,300	3,300	8,100	8,100	8,100			,	per cooperative agreement per cooperative agreement
51	41			Additional lake	825 1,100	1,100	1,500	0_ 325	2,500			,	per cooperative agreement
52	42			Aquatic Vegetation Surveys Subtotal Lake Monitoring lines 47-51	5,945	4,950	325 10,685	8,425	1,100 12,460	0	0	1,100 12,460	per cooperative agreement
53				Subtotal Earle Monitoring Intes 47 31	3,343	4,330	10,085	8,423	12,400	0	0	12,400	
54			Other W	/ater Monitoring									
55	36			Rain Gauge Network	100		100	0	100			0	will not be spent in 2020. Network is not active, equipment is in storage
56	43			Source Assessment	0			_					and the second of the second of equipment is most age
57	44			Watershed-wide TMDL-Followup-TRPD	5,000		2,500		1,000		1,000		now part of routine monitoring, will not be spent in 2020
58	45			Wetland Monitoring - WHEP	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000		,	4,000	four sites, adult volunteers through Hennepin County
59				Subtotal Other Monitoring lines55-58	9,100	4,000	6,600	4,000	5,100	0	1,000	4,000	
60 61				Total Monitoring Expense lines 44,52,59	48,795	39,418	65,410	40,348	50,010	114	1,850	49,060	
62		Flood	dplain Mo	odeling									
63	19		Barr - F	loodplain modeling	46,386		46,386		39,360	34,903	0.0	0.0	total expense allowed per contract w/DNR = \$92,773. Carryover line item. Conract extends thru December 2020.
64			TOTAL C	GENERAL OPERATING EXP - lines 63,60,34,28,16	241,081	148,714	247,696	162,293	234,070	83,491	9,650	203,510	
65													
66	GEN	IERA	L OPERA	TING REVENUE									
67	95	Mer	mbership	Dues	225,000	225,000	230,400	230,400	237,300	237,300		237,300	0% increase
68	97	Inte	erest Inco	me	250	18,382	2,500	26,203	8,000	4,924	2,000	15,000	at 12/31/2019, interest rates were 1.38% and 1.46% monthly. (16.56%, 17.52% annually)
69	98			d Income	750		500	204	250	,	,		LMCIT insurance
70	91	TRPD		ative Agreement	6,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,500			5,500	
71				- Floodplain Modeling	46,386	-	46,386	-/	39,360			2,200	total expense allowed per contract w/DNR = \$92,773. Carryover line item, had difficulty securing DUNS # in order to be reimbursed. DUNS # received 5/29/2020. Contract extends thru December 2020.
72	99	Mis	cellaneou	is Income									
73				Subtotal General Operating Revenue lines 67-72	278,886	248,605	284,786	261,807	290,410	242,224	2,000	258,050	
74		1	' ·	TOTAL GENERAL ACTIVITIES lines 64,73	37,805	99,891	37,090	99,514	56,340	158,733	11,650	54,540	
75											,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
7.5		<u> </u>											

	Α	В	С	D	AT	AV	AW	AX	AY AZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD
				-									
	line							2010		2020	2020 Budget		
	mo				2018		2019	2019 Preliminary	2020	2020 activity YTD (thru May	-		
3	Fr					2018 Audit	Budget	Audit	Budget	Treas Report)	fund	2021 Budget	Notes
	PRO	JECT	REVIEW	/S and WCA						- Treat traperty			
77			enses										
78	18			al - Barr Engineering/SWS . Per Proposal	95,000	92,477	97,400	70,473	185,00	0 17,824		185,000	Per Barr invoice:
79				Task 1: Pre-Project Review Assistance				_					Task 100 TAC and Comm meeting preparation and attendance
80				Task 2: Project Reviews				_					Pre-Project Review
81				Task 3: Meeting Attendance				_					General administration - invoicing, project set-up
82				Task 4: Wetland Conservation Act Assistance									Task 200 Other Assistance
83				Task 5: Misellaneous Technical Assistance									MTDs
84													Task 300 Project Reviews
85	20		Technic	al Support - Other	12,000	37,553	15,000	21,236		27,783			thru 2019, project review support of Henn County was performed by Barr Engineering
	22			Support - includes project intake, liaison w/cities.	14,000	13,543	15,000	8,542	15,00	0 2,362	3,000	12.000	Based on history, can be adjusted downward in 2020.
86				ers, citizens.	Ť			_	·	·	,		
87	25		WCA Ex		17,750	15,886	18,200	3,710			3,000		Commission is no longer LGU, any carryover work is included in line 27 above
88	26			pense - Legal	500	683	500	31			500		will not be spent in 2020
89 90	27 23		_	pense - Admin	1,500 140,750	3,388 163,530	2,000 148,100	424 104,416	1,00 204,50		1,000 7,500	197.000	will not be spent in 2020
				ubtotal Project Review / WCA Expenses lines 78-83	140,750	103,530	148,100	104,416	204,500	47,969	7,300	197,000	
91		Reve											
92	90		+	: Review Fees	80,000	73,305	80,000	45,874	80,00	0 30,318		-	review and adjust fee schedule to capture expense
93	93			ees and Escrows Earned	10,000	3,450	5,000	14,297		0		0	no longer serving as LGU
94	94			d/Reimbursed Sureties	0	2,733	4,000	655	20.00	1,765		400.000	
95				Subtotal Project Review / WCA Revenue line 86-88 TOTAL PROJECT REVIEWS / WCA - lines 84,89	90,000 50,750	79,488	89,000 59,100	60,826 43,590	80,000 124,50	-	7,500	100,000 97,000	
96 97				I OTAL PROJECT REVIEWS / WCA - lines 84,89	50,750	84,042	29,100	45,530	124,50	19,416	/,500	97,000	
98													
	RF	CAP											
100	1,5												
	Line	e 74	TOTAL	GENERAL ACTIVITIES	37,805	99,891	37,090	99,514	56,34	158,733	11,650	54,540	
				ROJECT REVIEW & WCA ACTIVITY	50,750	84,042	59,100	43,590	124,50	-	7,500	97,000	
103				TOTAL lines 95-96	12,945	15,849	22,010	55,924	68,16	-	19,150	42,460	
104							,	,32				,	
105													

	Α	В	С	D	AT	AV	AW	AX	ΑY	AZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD
3	From line				2018 Budget	2018 Audit	2019 Budget	2019 Preliminary Audit		2020 Budget	2020 activity YTD (thru May Treas Report)	2020 Budget adjustments to general fund	2021 Budget	Notes
106	CIPS	s, GR/	ANTS, S	PECIAL PROJECTS, STUDIES. SWAs										
107		CIPs												
108				Dutlay - CIPs - Ad Valorem	490,000	323,545	462,500	432,547		448,935			175,000	In 2018, in order to minimize the occurrence of insufficient tax settlements from the County, the Commission adopted a policy by which 5% is added to the project cost for administrative and other expenses and an additional 1% to cover levy shortfalls. The base levy amounts payble in 2020 and 2021 are \$423.323 and \$175.000. respectively.
109		Grant												
110			Grants			27,631		124,092		125,000			125,000	For budgeting purposes, assume Commission share of \$100,000 grant (line 129) is \$125,000
111	1			nin Modeling - see lines 63 and 71										
112	72-76			ineligible for ad valorem; Studies, Subwatershed eents	85,000	3,534	35,000			0	802		0	On 5/8/2019 the Commission voted to reassign \$50,000 from this fund to the General Fund. Because balance in this account at 12/31/20 w/b approx. \$155,400, no funding is recommended in 2021. Unspent amount in 2019 will be added to assigned fund dedicated for this purpose.
114	77		Cash Su										0	
115			Subtota	l Expense - CIPs,Grants,Special Projects,Studies,SWAs- lines 102-108	575,000	354,710	497,500	556,639		573,935	802	o	300,000	
116	82	Conti	ingency		0	0	0	0		0			0	
117														
118	Reve	enue												
119			- Ad Val	orem	490,000	436,393	462,500	458,032		448,935			185,588	See lines 163-182
120				operative Agreement	,	,	,	62,804		,			,	
121			nt Reveni			99,411		45,028		100,000			100,000	assume 25% contribution line 104.
122				Subtotal Revenue - CIPs, Grants, Special Projects, Studies, SWAs - lines 113-115	490,000	,	462,500	565,864	0	548,935	0	0	285,588	
				Total - CIPs, Grants, Special Projects, Studies, SWAs-										
123				lines 109,110,116	85,000	181,094	35,000	9,225	0	25,000	802	0	14,412	
124		Surp	olus (D	eficit)- lines 97,117	97,945	196,943	57,010	65,149	О	93,160	138,515	19,150	56,872	
125														

	Α	В	CDD	AT	AV	AW	AX	AY AZ	. B	A I	BB	ВС	BD
	-												
	From line						2010		2020		2020 Budget		
	E O			2010		2010	2019	1 202		-	adjustments		
3	Ŧ			2018 Budget	2018 Audit	2019 Budget	Preliminary Audit	202 Budg		-	to general fund	2021 Budget	Notes
126			BALANCE SHEET	Duuget	2010 Addit	Duuget	Addit	Duug	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	сроге	Tuna	2021 Dauget	Notes
127		Asset	ets										
		Cach	h and investments		1 204 505			of this ar	mount \$206,513	3 (line 144	l) is unrestricted		Cash in Bank
128		Casii	n and investments		1,204,595		1,185,127		be used for any				Cash in Bank
129		Restri	tricted cash		98,444		78,737						includes Comm invoices outstanding plus Flood Mapping expenses unpaid
130		Accou	ounts Receivable		15,167		114,038	V					See lines 202-207 revenue due to Commission at 12/31/2019
131			Total Assets lines 122-124		1,318,206		1,377,902						
132													
133			ilities										
134			ounts payable		107,830		122,084						See lines 191-200 2019 expenses due for payment at 12/31/2019
135			A Escrows		30,000		11,494	_					
136		Defer	erred (Unearned) Revenue		68,444		67,243						See lines 209-210
137			Total Liabilities lines 128-130		206,274		200,821	L v					
138 139		Postri	tricted Funds/Net Position										
140			tricted for CIPs		732,763		763,789) v					
141			sed Project Account		1,221		1,342						See lines 163-182 - levy funds received, not yet expended, awaiting completion of projects
142		0.000	Total Restricted Funds lines 134-135		733,984		765,131						
143					,								
144			igned Funds/Net Position										
145			signed for projects, studies		175,297		205,437						See lines 186-189 - used for designated purpose only, unused portion carried over from previous years
146 147		Assigr	gned for other		50,000								,
148			Total Assigned Funds lines 139-140		225,297		205,437						
149		linros	estricted/unassigned fund balances		152,651		206,513	2					Funds not designated for any specific purpose
150		Omes	Total Unrestricted/unassigned fund bal line 143		152,651		206,513						runus not designated for any specific purpose
151													
152			Total Assigned and Unassigned Funds lines 141,144		377,948		411,950)					
153			Table and Balance (Alaba and State Lines 420 440		4 444 000		4 477 004						
154 155			Total Fund Balance/Net position lines 136,146		1,111,932		1,177,081	-					
156		Total	al Liabilities and Fund Balances lines 131,148		1,318,206		1,377,902	2					
157					,, ,, ,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
158		initic											
159		Nons	nspendable – amounts that are not in a spendable form.	The Comm	nission does i	not have an	y items that fi	t this categ	ory.				
		Restr	tricted – amounts constrained to specific purposes by the	eir provide	rs. One exar	nple would	be ad valoren	levy funds	received fro	m the C	County for cap	ital	
		impro	provement projects. The unused portion of these funds mus	ıst be set a	side in a rest	ricted accou	unt for similar	projects. A	nother exam	ıple woı	uld be BWSR I	egacy Grant	
160		proce	ceeds where the funds are received prior to the onset of a	project ar	nd where any	unused po	rtion must be	returned to	the grantor				
			mmitted – amounts constrained to specific purposes by th								e vear to the	next for	
161			dies, Project Identification and Subwatershed Assessments							.	- /		
162			igned — amounts the Commission intends to use for speci-		es Most line	items in th	e Commission	ı's Oneratin	g Rudget fall	Lunder	this category		
			assigned – amounts that are available for any purpose. The						P Panger Idii	unuei	ans category.		
163 164		unas	ussigned — amounts that are available for any purpose. In	iese alliou	iits are repor	teu only in	tile gelleral Tu	iiiu.					
165								+ +					
					<u> </u>		<u>I</u>						

	Α	В	С	D AT	AV	AW	AX	AY AZ	ВА	BB	ВС	BD
						7.11	7.0.	7.				
	line									2020 Budget		
	From						2019		-	adjustments		
	품			2018	2010 4 11	2019	Preliminary	2020	YTD (thru May	_	2024 5 1 .	
3 166				Budge	2018 Audit	Budget	Audit	Budget	Treas Report)	fund	2021 Budget	Notes
167				Balanc	<u> </u>	Receipts		Balance		Closed		
168					Expenditure		Adjustments	12/31/2019		Project Acct		
169		Resti	ricted for	CIPs - lines 134-135			,					
170			Medina	Tower Drive (final 2019) 66,	81 66,760)	121			121		
171				n Mill Pond Dam (final 2018)						82		
172				h EC Restoration (final 2017)	40	604		70.45	-	1,139		
173 174				ek Ph 2 Stabilization 80, eek Main Stem 74,		694 6 669		79,45)			
175				e Alum Treat Ph 1 74,								
176				ek Ph 3 Stabilization 112,		11		112,22	2			
177				d Fishery Restoration 249,		24		249,55				
178				rden at Indep Ave 74,		7		74,77				
179					15	74,594		74,47	9			
180 181					15 211,237			00.24	_			
182					15 15	99,461 74,594		99,34 74,47				
183				eek PH 3 Main Stem	103			10:				
184				ew Wetland Restore (2022)	103			103				
185				Dr Stormwater Improve	103			10:				
186				wn Regnl Stormwater	103			10:				
187				pration PH IV -	103			10:				
188			Total Re	stricted Fund Balance 732,	426,88 4	458,031	121	763,78	9 0	1,342	0	
189 190				Balanc	Budget	Francistrus		Balance				
191				12/31/20		Expenditure 2019	Adjustments	12/31/2019				
192		Assig	gned Fund	I Balance - lines 139-140	7133181104	2015	rajustinents	12/51/2015				
193				projects, studies/project ID/SWA 175,	97 35,000	4,860		205,43	7			
194				ineligible for ad valorem 50,			50,000					
195			Total As	signed Fund Balance 225,	97 35,000	4,860	50,000	0 205,43	7			
196				111 11 12								
197 198		Acco		able - line 128 Connexus	18	Electrical						
190					34	Project Revie	2W.C					
200				TRPD 15,		2019 Monito						
199 200 201 202 203				IASS 10,		Administrati						
202				Maple Grove 74,		CIP						
203				Plymouth 1,		CIPs						
204				Barr Engrg 3,		Floodplain M						
204 205 206				Hennepin County 15,		Technical Se	I VICES					
207				121,								
208		Acco	ounts Rece	eivable - line 124								
209				Fish Lake Internal Phos. Project 101,	03							
209 210 211				Floodplain Modeling 3,	64							
211					00							
212					71							
213				114,	38							
214 215		Defe	arred (Upa	earned) Revenue - line 130								
213		שכופ	JITEU (UITE	unearned revenue from BWSR Watershed-based								
216				Funding Grant 67,	43							
217												
					1	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		

2020 Elm Creek Project Reviews Fees v. Expense Analysis

	•	T 5	· ^	T ees v. Expens		-			,		17	, 1	,, 1	<u> </u>
1	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N
2		Project Name	City	Project Description	Complete/ Incomplete	Barr Dec 20 invoice	Barr Jan 15 invoices (2)	Barr Jan 22 invoice	Fee Received	Barr Feb 28 invoices (3)	Barr March 27 invoices (2)	Barr May 1 invoice (2)	Barr June 1 invoices (3)	Barr June 29 invoices (3)
3				Eloodalain Manning		1,441.00		532.50		26,051.00	7,560.50	1,291.00	4,261.50	7,069.00
4				Floodplain Mapping		1,441.00	586.00	332.30		1,675.50	7,300.30	1,291.00	325.50	296.00
5				Ravinia wetland			360.00			1,075.50			323.30	290.00
	Task 100	TAC and Comm mosting properties and attendance		and definition			847.00			10,267.00	5,576.65	9,662.00	4,691.50	2,022.50
7	Task 100	TAC and Comm meeting preparation and attendance		per definition			847.00			10,267.00	5,576.05	9,002.00	4,091.50	726.00
-		Pre-Project Review		also including Ravinia		-								
8		General administration - invoicing, project set-up												2,057.50
9	TI-200									200.00	1 127 25	040.00	00.00	
	Task 200	Other Assistance		MTDs						300.00	1,137.35	840.00	80.00	472.50
11		MTDs - coordination with administrator												473.50
12	TI-000													
	Task 300	Project Reviews		Project Reviews										
14	2047.020								072.00			220.00	275.00	
	2017-039 2017-050	Rush Creek Apartments	MG		C				872.00			220.00	275.00	
	2017-030 2018-033	Ernie Mayers Cloquet Island Estates	Cor		C				3,933.00	910.00			192.50	
	2019-001	Fernbrook View Apartments	Dayton MG		C				542.50	220.00			192.50	
	2019-026	Interstate Power Systems	Rogers		ı				2,550.00	280.00	55.00			
	2019-030	Rolling Hills Acres	Nogers			1			2,330.00	200.00	33.00			55.00
	2019-031	Hassan Sand & Gravel, Inc Zachman Property	Rogers		С				9,400.00	1,100.00				
	2019-032	OSI, Inc. Corporate Headquarters Addition	Medina		С				2,050.00	220.00				27.50
23	2020-001	Markets at Rush Creek Outlot L Multi-Tenant	MG	1.6 acres commercial development	ı				550.00	275.00				
24	2020-002	Project 100	MG	100.6 acre commercial development	ı				12,300.00	1,897.50	1,182.50	220.00		
25	2020-003	Palisades at Nottingham Second Addition	MG	4.0 acre residential development	С				550.00	1,253.50	444.50			
	2020-004	Elm Road Area Project	MG	60 acre residential development	I				5,125.00	2,198.00	232.50	765.50		55.00
	2020-005	Territorial Development Project EAW	Rogers	statutatory review	С						626.50			
		Zachary Villas of Dayton	Dayton	5.7 acre residential development	С				650.00		1,807.00	82.50		
	2020-007	Pineview and Oakview Lane N Improvements	Dayton	2 acres new impervious/floodplain-12 acres disturbed	С				650.00		1,190.00	110.00		
	2020-008	Ione Gardens	Dayton	48 acres residential development	I				4,000.00		449.50	1,072.50		
	2020-009	Stetler Barn	Medina	35 acres. 3.5 acres disturbed	I				450.00			644.00	392.00	
	2020-010	Birchwood	Rogers	21 acre residential development	!				2,200.00			240.00	1,196.50	55.00
	2020-011 2020-012	Bellwether 4th Addition	Cor	45.5 acre residential development	- 1				2,775.00			248.00	3,248.50	279.00
	2020-012	Wayzata High School 2020 Parking Lot Improvements Territorial Greens Residential (West)	Ply MG	3.5 acres institutional 15.2 acres - residential??	1				1,067.50 1,569.00				806.50 46.50	
	2020-013	Territorial Greens Residential (West)	MG	13.2 acre residential?	1				1,369.00				911.00	
	2020-014	Dayton Interchange Business Center	Dayton	w/related infrastructure creating 6.2 acres new impervious	<u>'</u>				3,050.00				691.00	
	2020-016	Lennar Terr Road Development (Skye Meadows)	Rogers	130-acre residential creating 38.73 acres new impervious	1				9,130.00				139.50	3,960.50
	2020-017	Meadow View Townhomes	Medina	125 townhomes on 22 acres	•				2,375.00					718.50
	2020-018	DNR MN Health Village St Wetland	MG						,,,,,,,,,					117.00
	2020-019	Sundance Greens 4th Addition	Dayton	grade 36.8 acres for 108 lots, final plat 33					3,550.00					77.50
42									:					
43														
44														
45		Totals				1,441.00	1,433.00	532.50						
46 47		highlight = review is complete		TOTALS					70,789.00	8,354.00	5,987.50	3,362.50	7 200 00	5 245 00
4/				TOTALS					70,789.00	0,334.00	ا05.106,د	5,502.50	7,899.00	5,345.00

2020 Elm Creek Project Reviews Fees v. Expense Analysis

	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V
1						wed for		
2	Total Expenses to date	Fees minus YTD Spent	Rule D	Rule E Erosion	Rule F Floodblai	Rule G Wetland	Rule H Bridge /C.	Rule I Buffers
3	48,206.50	41,137.50						
4	2,883.00	2,587.00						
5	0.00							
6	33,066.65	31,044.15						
7	726.00							
8	2,057.50							
9	0.00							
10	2,357.35	2,357.35						
11	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,						
12								
13								
14								
15	495.00	377.00						
16	0.00	0.00						
17	1,102.50	2,830.50						
18	220.00	322.50						
19	335.00	2,215.00						
20								
21	1,100.00	8,300.00						
22	247.50	1,802.50						
23	275.00	275.00	X	х				
24	3,300.00	9,000.00	х	х				х
25	1,698.00	1,148.00	X	х				х
26	3,251.00	1,874.00	х	х	х	х	х	х
27	626.50	626.50	X	х	Х	Х		
28	1,889.50	1,239.50	х	х				
29	1,300.00	650.00	Х	Х	Х			
30 31	1,522.00 1,036.00	2,478.00 586.00	X	X		X		X
32	1,251.50	948.50	x	X X				X
33	3,775.50	1,000.50	X	X	х	Х		Х
34	806.50	261.00	X	X				
35	46.50	1,522.50						
36	911.00	539.00	х	х				
37	691.00	2,359.00	Х	х		Х		х
38	4,100.00	5,030.00	х	х	х	х		х
39			X	х	Х	Х		х
40				Х		Х		
41			X	Х	Х	Х		
42								
43 44	0.00							
		0.00						
45 46	0.00	0.00						
47	29,980.00	34,884.00						
	,500.00	2 .,3030						

Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission Project Review Fee Schedule and Worksheet

The following projects require review: Any land-disturbing activity or the development or redevelopment of land as listed in Rule D.2. of Appendix O of the Commission's Watershed Management Plan. The review period will not begin until the Commission has received a completed application form bearing city authorization to proceed, all appropriate materials, and fees.

								unt Due
Apı	plicati	on Fee					\$	50
			Note: When calculating acreage, round up to nearest whole no. Example	e, 31.35 a	cres =	= 32 acres.		
Pro	ject R	eviews						
A.	New	Development - A	rea is the Site Area					
	1	Residential						
		0 to 20 acr	es = Area x \$100					
		21 to 100 a	icres = \$2,000 + (Area minus 20 acres) x \$75					
		101 + acre	s = \$8,000 + (Area minus 100 acres) x \$20					
		maximum	ee = \$10,000 + application fee					
	2	Commercial/indus	trial/institutional/governmental agency development project					
		0 to 40 acr	es = Area x \$250					
			= \$10,000 + (Area minus 40 acres) x \$75					
			ee = \$12,250 + application fee					
					T			
В.	Re-E	Development						
			nent use the "New Development" rates above but use Disturbed Area (in a	cres) inst	ead o	f Site Area		
			e than 50% of the site is disturbed for a Re-Development project,					
			Development fee formula with Site Area					
С	Dev	elonment / Re-de	velopment with mapped floodplains on site					
 •			acts ≤ 100 cubic yards.	\$	100			
		Impacts > 100 cul			500			
+	1-	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	, and a second s	Ψ .	000			
	l ine	ar Projects Side	valks and trails that do not exceed twelve feet in width, are not constructed w	ith other in	mprov	vements and		
D.		•	feet of vegetated buffer on both sides are exempt from Stormwater Manage		•	·		
D.			osion and Sediment Control requirements (Rule E). Impervious area includes					
	such	as road shoulders	s, parking lots and storage areas.			_		
	1	1.0 - 2.0 acre	es new impervious surface = \$500					
	2	Over 2.0 acr	es new impervious surface = \$500 + (new impervious area minus 2.0 acres)	x \$250				
		maximum fe	e = \$5,000 + application fee					
- E.	Drai	nage alterations	Any culvert installation or replacement, bridge construction, stream cross-se	ction alter	ration	, or		
	activ	ity requiring a DNI	R Waters Permit					
	1	on Elm, Rus	n, North Fork Rush, or Diamond Creeks	\$	500			
\mathbb{I}^{-}	2	on all other t	ributaries within the watershed	\$	100			
F.	Wate	er appropriation i	permit (two years)	\$	50			
Fail	ure to	make application a	nd receive approval prior to beginning work results in doubling of fees					
						Total fees	1	
							,	
						Eco if III constice		
				Di	ouble	Fee if III. applies	1	

Site Area = new development area. (Acreage is based on Site Area)

Buildable Area = site area excluding wetlands and floodplains. Rights-of-way are included in buildable area. Acreage is based on total Site Area unless noted

Disturbed Area = any change in existing land surface.

Density = number of units per buildable area prior to development.

Date Application Received by Commission	
Project No.	
Fee Received	

(for office use only)