



**elm creek  
watershed management  
commission**

**2006 Annual Report**

This report was prepared  
for the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission  
by Judie Anderson, JASS.

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of:  
Kent Johnson, Metropolitan Council  
John Barten, Three Rivers Park District  
Ali Durgunođlu, Hennepin County Department of Environmental Services (HCDES)  
James Fallon, U S Geological Service (USGS)  
James Kujawa, Hennepin County Department of Environmental Services (HCDES)  
Brian Vlach, Three Rivers Park District

*Cover photograph by Sally Strand, Plymouth, Minnesota*

# **2006 Annual Report**



# Table of Contents

	page
The Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission .....	1
History .....	1
The Watershed .....	1
The Commission.....	1
Table 1. Area of Members within Watershed .....	2
Second Generation Plan .....	4
Project Reviews .....	4
Water Monitoring.....	4
Lake Monitoring.....	5
Table 2. Lake and Watershed Characteristics .....	5
Table 3. Carlson's Trophic State Index.....	6
Stream Monitoring.....	7
Flow Monitoring .....	7
Table 4. Elm Creek Annual Instantaneous Peak Discharge Rates .....	8
Elm Creek Channel Study .....	8
Macroinvertebrate Monitoring (River Watch) .....	9
Wetland Monitoring.....	10
Written Communication .....	10
Interest Proposals .....	10
Financial Reporting.....	11
2007 Work Plan .....	11
Channel Study .....	11
Local Plan Development.....	11
Digitization Project .....	11
Clean Water Legacy Act Grant .....	11
 <b>Appendices</b>	
Commissioners, Staff and Consultants.....	1
Status of Local Plans .....	2
Project Reviews .....	3
Lake Monitoring - Cowley, Diamond, Fish, French, Henry and Weaver Lakes .....	4
Water Quality Summary	
Lake Monitoring Schedule	
Stream Monitoring.....	5
USGS Gaging Station	
Automatic and Manual Event Sampling	
Runoff Summary	
Flow Hydrograph	
River Watch .....	6
Financial Reporting.....	7
2006 Budget	
2006 Member Assessments	
Year-End Treasurer's Report	
2006 Audit Report	
Acronyms .....	8



**The Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission** was established to protect and manage the natural resources of the Elm Creek watershed. Its current members are the cities of Champlin, Corcoran, Dayton, Maple Grove, Medina, Plymouth, and Rogers and the Township of Hassan.

**History.** The Commission was formed in 1973 as a joint powers organization by the cities of Champlin, Corcoran, Dayton, Maple Grove, Medina, and Plymouth, and the Hennepin Conservation District, under the authority conferred to the member parties through MN Statutes Sections 471.59 and 103B.211. In 1981 the Town of Hassan entered the agreement. The cities of Greenfield and Rogers became non-voting, non-paying members of the Commission in 1982. In 2000, the City of Corcoran withdrew from the Pioneer-Sarah Creek Commission in order to include all of its area under the Elm Creek Commission. Likewise, the City of Greenfield voted in 2001 to withdraw from the Elm Creek Commission and to include all its area in the Pioneer-Sarah Creek Watershed Management Commission. Rogers became a full member of the Commission in 2000.

In 2003, the Hennepin County Board of Commissioners authorized the establishment of conservation services under County auspices. Effective in June 2003, the Hennepin County Department of Environmental Services (HCDES) began providing technical services to the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission. These services include conservation engineering services related to hydrology and hydraulic analyses, the review of site development plans, administration of the Wetland Conservation Act (WCA), and technical assistance regarding best management practices (BMPs) for stormwater management, erosion control and the protection of water quality. This necessitated an amendment to the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) since, prior to that time, technical services were provided by the Hennepin County Conservation District (HCD), a party of the original JPA.

**The Watershed.** The Elm Creek Watershed covers approximately 130.68 square miles and lies wholly within the north central part of Hennepin County, Minnesota. The Crow and Mississippi Rivers demarcate the northern boundary. Although some areas in the north drain to the Crow and Mississippi Rivers, they are within the legal boundaries of the Elm Creek watershed.

**The Commission.** A Board of Commissioners was established as the governing body of the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission. The Board is comprised

of representatives appointed by the member communities. The table in *Appendix 1* shows the names of the Commissioners appointed to serve in 2006 and the Commission's administrative, legal and technical support staff. The Commission has no employees.

Table 1 - Area of Members within the Elm Creek Watershed		
Local Government Unit	Area (Square Miles)	%age of Watershed
Champlin	3.08	2%
Corcoran	36.09	28%
Dayton	25.06	19%
Hassan	19.96	15%
Maple Grove	26.37	20%
Medina	9.35	7%
Plymouth	4.45	3%
Rogers	6.31	5%

The Commissioners meet monthly on the second Wednesday at 11:30 a.m. at Maple Grove City Hall, 12800 Arbor Lakes Parkway. These meetings are open to the public and visitors are welcome. Meeting notices, agendas and approved minutes are posted on the Commission's website, [www.elmcreekwatershed.org](http://www.elmcreekwatershed.org).

The duties of the Commissioners include:

1. Preparing and adopting a watershed management plan meeting the requirements of MN Rules Chapter 8410. The purpose of the Elm Creek Watershed Management Plan is to:
  - a. Protect, preserve, and manage surface water and groundwater resources;
  - b. Minimize property damages and economic losses through water resource management;
  - c. Manage public expenditures needed to study, control, and/or correct flooding and water quality problems;
  - d. Educate and inform the public on pertinent water resource management issues and increase public participation in water management activities;

- e. Identify and plan for means to effectively protect and improve surface and groundwater quality;
  - f. Establish more uniform local policies and official controls for surface and groundwater management;
  - g. Reduce erosion of soil into surface water systems;
  - h. Promote groundwater recharge;
  - i. Protect and enhance fish and wildlife habitat and water recreational facilities;
  - j. Reduce and control stream degradation through land protection measures, runoff restrictions, and pollutant restrictions.
2. Reviewing and approving local water management plans as defined in MN Rules Chapter 8410.
  3. Exercising the authority of a Watershed Management Organization under MN Statutes Chapter 103B to regulate the use and development of land when:
    - a. a local water management plan has not been approved and adopted, or
    - b. a local permit requires an amendment to or variance from the local water management plan, or
    - c. the Commission has been authorized by the local government to require permits for land use.
  4. Exercising authority when the local government fails to enforce the policies of the Commission.

According to the Metropolitan Surface Water Management Act, the Watershed Management Plan must:

1. Provide a land and water resource inventory;
2. Present information on the hydrologic system and its components, including any drainage system previously constructed under MN Statutes Chapter 106 (the Public Ditch Laws), and existing and potential problems;
3. State goals and policies, including management principles, alternatives and modifications, water quality, and protection of natural characteristics;
4. Develop a management plan, including the hydrologic and water quality conditions that will be sought and the significant opportunities for improvement;
5. Describe conflicts between the watershed plan and existing plans of local government units;
6. Write an implementation program that is consistent with the management plan and which includes a capital improvement program, as well as standards and schedules for amending the comprehensive plans and official controls of local government units in the watershed to bring about conformance with this watershed plan.

**Second Generation Plan.** In 2000 the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission and the Pioneer-Sarah Creek Watershed Management Commission, working cooperatively with WSB & Associates, began developing their *second generation* watershed management plans. Input from meetings with citizens from both watersheds, the Commissions' Technical Advisory Committees (TACs), and representatives from various state agencies was used to create a draft plan that was presented at a public hearing in October 2002 where final oral and written comments were received. Execution of the Commission's amended and restated JPA in May 2004 signaled the onset of the Board of Water and Soil Resource's 60-day final review period. BWSR approved the *Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan* on October 27, 2004. The Commission adopted the plan on December 8, 2004.

Every member community must prepare and adopt their own water management plans. Local plans must comply with MN Statutes, Sec. 103B.235 and MN Rules 8410.0160 and 8410.0170 regarding local plan content and the requirements of the Commission's Watershed Management Plan. Under the statutes, member cities are required to revise their plans to conform with the Commission's plan within two years of Commission plan adoption. The status of member communities' regulatory programs is found in *Appendix 2*.

**Project Reviews.** Land use within the Elm Creek watershed has been influenced by agricultural activities, rural residential, and higher density development pressure. Existing and projected land uses for areas within the Commission's boundaries are described in the member communities' Comprehensive Plans. These land use plans include residential, commercial, and industrial development; designated park and open space areas; and public recreational areas.

Under various authorities the Commission reviews local development plans for conformance with the standards outlined in their second generation Watershed Management Plan. Projects are reviewed for erosion and sediment control, wetland, floodplain and stormwater management, as well as Department of Natural Resources (DNR) permits. The Commission's technical staff performed 79 project reviews in 2006. A list of each project, its location, and the critical areas reviewed is attached as *Appendix 3*. The Commission anticipates a similar number of projects will be reviewed in 2007.

**Water Monitoring.** The Commission conducts lake and stream monitoring programs to track water quality and quantity conditions. The Commission began monitoring Elm Creek and its tributaries in 1975 and the lakes within the

watershed in 1980. The Commission conducts chemical, physical and biological monitoring of the streams and physical and chemical monitoring of lakes. Periodically, the Commission may also participate in special studies if a need is identified or in larger projects such as the diagnostic-feasibility study of a lake.

**Lake Monitoring.** In 2006 the Commission monitored Diamond, Fish, French and Weaver Lakes in cooperation with Three Rivers Park District. (Diamond Lake was monitored on behalf of the City of Dayton.) In addition, the Commission funded the monitoring of Cowley and Henry Lakes through Metropolitan Council's Citizen Assisted Monitoring Program (CAMP). Summaries of the 2006 CAMP report and the Park District's 2006 lake sampling results, as well as the lake monitoring schedule, are included in *Appendix 4*.

**Table 2: Lake and Watershed Characteristics**

Lake	Size (acs)	Max Depth (ft)	Mean Depth (ft)	Watershed Size (acs)	Land Use Characteristics
Cowley	74	7			Agricultural, rural residential, residential
Diamond	408	8	6.5	2666	Agricultural, rural residential
Fish	244	48	19	1990	Residential, commercial, park
French	218*	6	3	870	Agricultural, rural residential
Henry	77	5	3**	979**	Agricultural, rural residential
Weaver	159	57	21	510	Residential, park

\*Open water area

\*\*Approximation

Lake and watershed characteristics of the lakes monitored in 2006 are shown in Table 2. Water quality parameters for the lakes can be used to determine their Trophic State (state of nutrient enrichment) using Carlson's Trophic State Index (TSI). Table 3 shows the summary of 2006 data.

Historical trend data are available for Fish and Weaver Lakes and are listed in *Appendix 4*. The trend data include samples from April through October for the entire monitoring period and the summer means (May through September) since 1995. French Lake has limited long-term data from which to draw trend information but it is apparent from the data collected in the last six years that the lake has had a history of severe eutrophic conditions. As the Commission continues to implement its second generation Management Plan, the goals for these lakes will be constantly evaluated. The Commission will also consider a non-degradation policy to prevent further degradation of its water resources.

**Table 3. Carlson's Trophic State Index (R.E. Carlson)**

Lake	TSI	Trophic Status	Expected Conditions
Cowley	82	Hypereutrophic	Severe algae blooms with poor transparency. Periodic winter kills.
Diamond	72.9	Eutrophic	Severe algal blooms with decreased transparency, potential fish kills during summer and winter.
Fish	55.4	Eutrophic	Decreased transparency, anoxic hypolimnion during summer, macrophyte problems evident
French	75.4	Hypereutrophic	Heavy algal blooms possible throughout summer, dense macrophyte beds, but extent limited by light penetration
Henry	65*	Eutrophic	Dominated by aquatic vegetation.
Weaver	57.7	Eutrophic	Decreased transparency, anoxic hypolimnion during summer, macrophyte problems evident Water quality improved significantly in 2005 and continued in 2006.
*approximation			

**Stream Monitoring.** The Elm Creek watershed contains several large depressions and drainageways. Water is generally directed from the south and west to the northeast via four main drainageways - Rush Creek, North Fork Rush Creek, Diamond Creek, and Elm Creek. These drainageways converge in the Elm Creek Park Reserve and enter Hayden Lake. Water is eventually discharged to the Mississippi River near the Mill Pond in Champlin.

The monitoring station in Champlin is operated with the cooperation of the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The Commission shares the costs of operating the station, which collects continuous flow data and periodic event and base water quality data. Both grab samples and storm runoff samples are collected and analyzed for various parameters. Analyses of the streamflow and water quality monitoring data for Elm Creek and its tributaries are summarized below. Real time data from this monitoring station may be viewed on the Internet at [http://waterdata.usgs.gov/mn/nwis/uv/?site\\_no=05287890&PARAMeter\\_cd=00065,00060](http://waterdata.usgs.gov/mn/nwis/uv/?site_no=05287890&PARAMeter_cd=00065,00060).

The gauging site is located at the Elm Creek Road crossing in the Elm Creek Park Reserve. Continuous flow monitoring, low flow, and storm event sampling are completed at the site. The watershed area above the gauging station is 86 square miles, or 81% of the hydrologic watershed.

**Flow Monitoring.** Storm event samples are collected using an automatic sampler. Routine manual sampling occurs approximately monthly. A spreadsheet of the data received in 2006 water year (WY) is included in *Appendix 5*.

The average daily discharge for the 2006 WY, October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006, was 42.9 cubic feet per second (cfs) or 6.77 inches. During the same period, the minimum and maximum observed average daily discharge values were 1.2 cfs and 294 cfs, respectively. The long-term average daily discharge at the station is 39.3 cfs or 6.20 inches (years 1979-2006). Long-term flow volumes (calendar and water years) are also included in *Appendix 5*.

Table 4 shows the annual instantaneous peak discharge values at the gauging station for the period of record. The flow hydrograph for the 2006 WY and the daily discharge and the summary information at the Elm Creek USGS gauging station are included in *Appendix 5*.

**Table 4. Elm Creek Annual Instantaneous Peak Discharge Rates**

Date	Peak Flow (cfs)	Date	Peak Flow (cfs)	Date	Peak Flow (cfs)
4/4/79	307	8/1/90	225	4/25/01	875**
3/25/80	199	6/1/91	371	5/11/02	554
6/15/81	44	3/8/92	380	6/28/03	695
4/3/82	471*	6/22/93	315	6/03/04	350
3/9/83	408	4/30/94	669*	10/30/04	118
2/25/84	341	3/17/95	237	10/09/05	295
3/18/85	579*	3/19/96	407		
3/27/86	812*	4/1/97	511*		
8/1/87	185	4/5/98	306		
3/27/88	39	5/15/99	538*		
3/31/89	159	7/13/00	112		

\*These values have been revised based on the 2001 rating curve.  
 \*\*All-time instantaneous peak discharge. 100-year flood discharge at this site is 2290 cfs.

**Elm Creek Channel Study.** In their second generation Watershed Management Plan, the Commission determined that bank stabilization and erosion control are very high priority issues. In 2005 the Commission undertook the Elm Creek Channel Study to identify unstable areas of Elm Creek, Rush Creek, North Fork Rush Creek and Diamond Creek. Due to its rapidly changing land use, low flow regimes in Elm Creek are changing and threatening the stability of the stream. The Commission wants to determine these low flows at critical points along the Creek and stable stream configurations that can sustain these flows. This will help in developing policies at subwatershed level to prevent further degradation of the stream. Bonestroo, Rosene, Anderlik & Associates (BRAA) was selected to conduct the channel study.

Three Rivers Park District, recognizing the need for intergovernmental cooperation to prevent degradation of aquatic resources, assess the quality of stream resources in the watershed and develop and implement a comprehensive management plan, entered into a cooperative agreement with the Commission to provide cost-share assistance to complete the study.

In 2006, the field survey work was completed, the TR-20 snowmelt model converted to HydroCad, current land uses and hydrology identified, and bankfull

storms determined at surveyed reaches. Utilizing the model output for a specific site on Rush Creek, BRAA also provided peak flow discharge rates and hydrographs comparing four scenarios:

1. 30% impervious for all land upstream of the site with existing rules
2. 30% impervious development upstream of the site with proposed Channel Protection Standards
3. 30% impervious development upstream of the site with extended detention for the first 1.0-inches of runoff
4. 30% impervious development upstream of the site with detention as proposed by Wulliman and Urbonas "Peak Flow for Full Spectrum of Design Storms"

HydroCad models were run for the 1-, 2-, 5-, 10-, 25-, 50-, and 100-year storms with durations of 2-, 6-, 12-, and 24-hours. Based on the comparisons, BRAA concluded that the 24-hour extended detention of the channel protection volume is the recommended design standard. As with traditional detention methods, the benefits of extended detention diminish with downstream distance. For all scenarios considered, 30% impervious cover leads to significant increases in stream flow at the locations modeled. In-stream management and stabilization will continue to be necessary with watershed development.

When completed, the draft Channel Study will be presented to the members for review and comment prior to adoption by the Commission. It is intended that recommended revised management practices will be incorporated into the Commission's Watershed Management Plan as revised standards that must be adopted by the member communities.

**Macroinvertebrate Monitoring (River Watch).** The Elm Creek watershed is the largest watershed completely within Hennepin County boundaries. Located in the north central section of the county, it covers an area of 109 square miles. Elm Creek and its tributaries are 23 miles long. There are three major tributaries in the watershed -- the North Fork of Rush Creek starts in Greenfield and flows through Corcoran, Rogers and Hassan; the South Fork of Rush Creek originates in Corcoran and the main stem begins in Medina and flows through Plymouth, Dayton and Champlin, where it discharges to the Mississippi River.

Since 1995 the Commission has worked with the Hennepin Conservation District (HCD) to create and maintain a benthic macroinvertebrate monitoring program. In 2003 the program came under the guidance of the Hennepin County Department of Environmental Services (HCDES).

River Watch, as this program is now called, is used both for education and data collection. It is a goal of the Commission to sustain existing monitoring sites, gain water quality data, and promote river stewardship through teaching and project participation by students. In 2006, students from five schools monitored at five locations in the Elm Creek watershed.

*2006 Hennepin County River Watch Results*, available from HCDES, includes results from all the Hennepin County monitoring sites. A map showing the watershed macroinvertebrate monitoring sites as well as excerpts from the report on the sites in the Elm Creek watershed are found in *Appendix 6*. The complete report is available at , <http://www.co.hennepin.mn.us>, keyword River Watch.

**Wetland Monitoring.** The Commission serves as the local government unit (LGU) for administering the WCA for the cities of Champlin and Corcoran and the Township of Hassan. The Commission reviews exemption applications, drain and fill applications, replacement plans, and banking applications; attends Technical Evaluation Panel (TEP) meetings; and fulfills other requirements of WCA.

The Commission's goal is to assure that WCA rules are properly implemented, wetland violations are resolved and replacement plans are reviewed. In 2006 the Commission reviewed 27 plans involving wetlands and received no new wetland banking applications. They also participated in ten TEPs. A similar level of activity is anticipated in 2007. Three new potential Wetland Conservation Act violations were investigated within the watershed in 2006.

Four outside firms were approved to perform wetland consulting services for the Commission in 2005-2006. They are named in *Appendix 1*.

**Written Communications.** The Elm Creek Commission maintains a website [www.elmcreekwatershed.org](http://www.elmcreekwatershed.org) to provide news to residents of the watershed and beyond. The Watershed Management Plan, draft Channel Study, monthly meeting materials, project reviews, Annual Reports and other watershed-related information are posted there. In addition, from time to time, news releases are provided to the member and their official newspapers for publication. [www.pressnews.com](http://www.pressnews.com) serves as the Commission's official newspaper.

**Interest Proposals.** The required biennial solicitation for interest proposals for professional, technical and wetland consulting services was published in the January 8, 2007 edition of the *State Register*. After reviewing the responses, the Commissioners approved the individuals and companies named in *Appendix 1*.

**Financial Reporting.** *Appendix 7* includes the Commission's approved budget for 2006, a report of revenues and expenditures for 2006, and the 2006 Audit Report prepared by Johnson & Company, Ltd., Certified Public Accountants.

**2007 Work Plan.** In addition to continuing the programs and activities described above, in 2007 the Elm Creek Watershed Management Commission will focus on:

**Channel Study.** It is anticipated the Elm Creek Channel Study will be completed in 2007. The results of the Study and resultant Report will be presented to the Commission and Three Rivers Park District for review and comment. The Final Report will be presented to the members and other interested parties at meetings held throughout the watershed. Based on the results obtained from that study, the Commission will evaluate the current state of the watershed and stream stability and determine if its current rules are adequate to protect the stream system from long term instability.

**Local Plan Development.** The Commission will continue to assist member communities with local plan development. Some members have not developed local plans, having expressed their intentions to wait until the Commission's second generation Watershed Management Plan was adopted, while others need only to update sections of their plans to be in conformance with the Commission's Management Plan. Under the statutes, member communities are required to revise their plans to conform with the Commission's Plan by December 2006.

**Digitization Project.** The Commission has budgeted \$5,000 for the digitization of the original floodplain study that was done in the 1980s. The floodplain study has been incorporated into the second generation Management Plan but it has remained in hard copy format. This project will make the data available in electronic and GIS formats. It is anticipated this project will be completed in 2007.

**Clean Water Legacy Act Grant.** In 2007 it is the Commission's intention to apply for a Clean Water Legacy Act (CWLA) grant for the Elm, Rush and Diamond Creek Stream Monitoring Project. This project would initiate a program to monitor Elm Creek, Rush Creek, North Fork Rush Creek, and Diamond Creek and Weaver, Fish,

Diamond, Cowley, Henry and Rice Lakes for dissolved oxygen, invertebrate populations, bacteria levels, and pollutant transport (phosphorus, nitrogen, and sediment) in the streams and a range of nine parameters in the lakes. The results of the monitoring would allow the Commission to assess the effectiveness of its second generation plan rules in reducing impacts on aquatic life and reducing pollutant transport and begin development of strategies to complete a TMDL analysis of the stream network in the watershed.

---

---

*Questions regarding this 2006 Annual Report should be directed to  
JASS, 763.553.1144, or [judie@jass.biz](mailto:judie@jass.biz).*